(If a firm has branch offices, complete for each specific branch office seeking work.)

### 1. REVISED ADSPO13-00003465: Annual Request for Qualifications

a.	FIRM (OR BRANCH OFFICE) NAME:	Breckenridge Group, Inc. Architects/Planners
b.	FIRM (OR BRANCH OFFICE) STREET:	2120 S. Rural Road, Ste #5
C.	FIRM (OR BRANCH OFFICE) CITY:	Tempe
d.	FIRM (OR BRANCH OFFICE) STATE:	AZ
e.	FIRM (OR BRANCH OFFICE) ZIP CODE:	85282
f.	YEAR ESTABLISHED:	2012
•		·
(g1).	OWNERSHIP - TYPE:	Corporation
(g2)	OWNERSHIP - SMALL BUSINESS STATUS:	Yes
h.	POINT OF CONTACT NAME AND TITLE:	Richard Luckett, AIA - Principal
i.	POINT OF CONTACT TELEPHONE NUMBER:	(480) 659-3332
j.	POINT OF CONTACT E-MAIL ADDRESS:	rluckett@breckenridgearchitects.com
k.	NAME OF FIRM (If block 1a is a branch office):	Breckenridge Group, Inc. Architects/Planners

(If a firm has branch offices, complete for each specific branch office seeking work.)

#### REVISED ADSPO13-00003465: Annual Request for Qualifications 1.

OWNERSHIP - SMALL BUSINESS STATUS:

(g2)

a.	FIRM (OR BRANCH OFFICE) NAME:	Breckenridge Group, Inc. Architects/Planners
b.	FIRM (OR BRANCH OFFICE) STREET:	700 N. Stone Ave.
C.	FIRM (OR BRANCH OFFICE) CITY:	Tucson
d.	FIRM (OR BRANCH OFFICE) STATE:	AZ
e.	FIRM (OR BRANCH OFFICE) ZIP CODE:	85705
f.	YEAR ESTABLISHED:	1984
		•
(g1)	OWNERSHIP - TYPE:	Corporation
(a2)	OWNERSHIP - SMALL BUSINESS STATUS:	Yes

h.	POINT OF CONTACT NAME AND TITLE:	Klindt D. Breckenridge, AIA - Principal
i.	POINT OF CONTACT TELEPHONE NUMBER:	(520) 882-9944
j.	POINT OF CONTACT E-MAIL ADDRESS:	kbreckenridge@breckenridgearchitects.com
j.	NAME OF FIRM (If block 1a is a branch office):	Breckenridge Group, Inc. Architects/Planners

### 2. EMPLOYEES BY DISCIPLINE

a. Discipline Title	b (	. Function: Primary P) or Secondary (S)	c. No. of Employees - Firm	d. No. of Employees - Branch
Architect	P		5	2
CADD Technician	Р		2	1
	Total		7	3
				=

### 3. PROFILE OF FIRM'S EXPERIENCE AND ANNUAL AVERAGE REVENUE FOR LAST YEAR

a. Approximate No. of Projects	b. Experience	c. Revenue Index Number (see below)
3	Area Master Planning	1
1	Auditoriums and Theaters	5
4	Commercial Building (Low Rise); Shopping Centers	7
3	Community Facilities	5
1	Cost Estimating; Cost Engineering and Analysis; Parametric Costing; Forecasting	1
2	Design-Build - Preparation of Requests for Proposals	7
5	Educational Facilities; Classrooms	6
1	Lighting (Exteriors; Streets; Memorials; Athletic Fields, Etc.)	3
1	Museums	2
4	Outdoor Recreation	5
1	Recreation Facilities (Parks, Marinas, Etc.)	2
2	Roofing	3

### PROFESSIONAL SERVICES REVENUE INDEX NUMBER

1.	Less than \$100,000	6.	\$2 million to less than \$5 million
2.	\$100,000 to less than \$250,000	7.	\$5 million to less than \$10 million
3.	\$250,000 to less than \$500,000	8.	\$10 million to less than \$25 million
4.	\$500,000 to less than \$1 million	9.	\$25 million to less than \$50 million
5.	\$1 million to less than \$2 million	10.	\$50 million or greater

a. NAME		b. ROLE IN THIS CONTRACT		c. YEARS EXPERIENCE		XPERIENCE
	Klindt D. Breckenridge, AIA	Principal / Senior Project Ma	anager	1. TOTAL <b>32</b>	. 2.	WITH CURRENT FIRM
d. FI	RM NAME AND LOCATION (City and State)					
В	reckenridge Group, Inc. Architects/Planners;	Tempe, Arizona and Tucson,	Arizona			
e. ED	UCATION (DEGREE AND SPECIALIZATION)	f. CURRENT	PROFESSIONAL REG	STRATION	STATE A	ND DISCIPLINE)
	achalay of Avahitaatuva University of Avisana	Domintors	ad Arabitaat Arim	no #1760	E	
	achelor of Architecture, University of Arizona HER PROFESSIONAL QUALIFICATIONS (Publications, Org		ed Architect Arizo	ліа # I <i>I</i> 60	<del></del>	
ľ	, , ,		- Asi Chantan I	Dunnislamt 44	000. A.i.	Casistu AIA
	A Alumni Association Bear Down Award 2008; Ameri ate President 2004; Arizona Fire District Association;		•			•
	izona Parks and Recreation; Arizona Association o	f Economic Development; Greate	r Tucson Leadersh	p, Presider	nt 1995;	Miracle Square
inc	dependent Living, Board President 1996-Present	H. RELEVANT PROJECTS				
	(1) TITLE AND LOCATION (City and State)			(2) Year C	ompleted	
	Catalina Foothills School District Canyon View El Expansion; Tucson, Arizona	ementary School MPR	Professional Services	C	onstruction	on (if applicable)
	Expansion, russon, Arizona		2010	2	2012	
1)	(3) BRIEF DESCRIPTION (Brief scope, size, cost, etc.) AND	SPECIFIC ROLE		project perfor		current firm
	Principal in Charge; renovation and expansion of the	existing MPR building. Improvemen	its included: demoliti	on and rend	ovation, a	adding approximately
	1,800 SF of building expansion; PE space, stage mechanical/HVAC, new fire sprinkler system, site wor					
		3.	3 33 34 1	<b>,</b> .,	- ,	
	(1) TITLE AND LOCATION (City and State)	and Classroom Addition, Vuma		(2) Year C	•	
	Yuma Union High School District Cibola High School Classroom Addition Arizona		Professional Services	C	onstruction	on (if applicable)
			2011	2	012	
2)	(3) BRIEF DESCRIPTION (Brief scope, size, cost, etc.) ANI	X Check if project performed with current firm				
	Principal in Charge; new classroom addition near the northwest corner of the existing Cibola High School campus. The new classroom addition attaches to the existing Physical Education building forming a courtyard. The completed courtyard was a planned use for some outdoor classroom.					
	activities. Size: 13,400 SF. Cost: \$1,813,394.					
	(A) TITLE AND LOCATION (O'C., LOCAL)		T	(2) Year C	ompleted	
	(1) TITLE AND LOCATION (City and State)  Amphitheater Public Schools Nash Elementary Schools Nash Elem	chool Library Renovation;	Professional Services	. ,	•	on (if applicable)
	Tucson, Arizona	•				
٥,	(3) BRIEF DESCRIPTION (Brief scope, size, cost, etc.) ANI	2012		2013		
3)	Principal in Charge; reconfigure/renovate 4,700 SF			project perfo needs thro		
	method. Included space planning, ADA compliance ι to HVAC, insulation and lighting. Cost: \$352,288.					
	to HVAC, insulation and lighting. Cost. \$352,200.					
	(1) TITLE AND LOCATION (City and State)			(2) Year C	ompleted	
	University of Arizona ICA Sand Volleyball Court F	Renovation; Tucson, Arizona	Professional Services	С	onstruction	on (if applicable)
			2013	2	2013	
4)	(3) BRIEF DESCRIPTION (Brief scope, size, cost, etc.) ANI			project perfo		current firm
	Principal in Charge; four new sand volleyball courts		e former football pra	ctice field. I	Includes	spectator viewing on
	three sides; created grass berm on one end to incorp sourced sand. Master planning for future teams rooms			on is lighter	and less	abiasive triair iriianu
	(1) TITLE AND LOCATION (City and State)	amentary Cabaal Exterior	D ( : 10 :	(2) Year C		
	Catalina Foothills School District Canyon View El Renovation; Tucson, Arizona	ementary School Exterior	Professional Services		onstructio	on (if applicable)
			2013	2	2013	
5)	(3) BRIEF DESCRIPTION (Brief scope, size, cost, etc.) ANI			project perfo		
	Principal in Charge; update facilities image and incre roof coating. Update exterior finishes, complete resi	troom remodel (replace all plumbin	g fixtures, accessor	ies, lighting	and fini	shes; add skylights),
	replacement of all interior and exterior building and exterior hardware for ADA compliance and new secur		controls. AC unit re	placement.	, replace	ement of interior and
	extendi hardware for ADA compliance and new security measures. Cost. \$1,107,905.					

C.	NAME	d. ROLE IN THIS CONTRACT	•	c. YEARS EXPERIENCE			
	Richard Luckett, AIA	Senior Project Manager / Co	onstruction	3. TOTAL <b>32</b>	4.	WITH CURRENT FIRM	
d. F	IRM NAME AND LOCATION (City and State)						
В	reckenridge Group, Inc. Architects/Planners;	Tempe, Arizona					
e. El	DUCATION (DEGREE AND SPECIALIZATION)	f. CURRENT	PROFESSIONAL REG	ISTRATION (S	TATE	AND DISCIPLINE)	
	,			"			
	achelor of Architecture, University of Arizona		ed Architect Arizo	ona #20136			
-	THER PROFESSIONAL QUALIFICATIONS (Publications, Org						
E:	merican Institute of Architects; Arizona Fire I xcellence; Town of Oro Valley Development F 003; Amateur Trapshooting Association Arizo ssociation Vice President	Review Board 2009-2012; City	of Tucson Develo	opment Rev	view I	Board 1997-	
		H. RELEVANT PROJECTS					
	(1) TITLE AND LOCATION (City and State)			(2) Year Cor	mpleted	t	
	Catalina Foothills School District Canyon View El Expansion; Tucson, Arizona	ementary School MPR	Professional Services	Co	nstructi	ion (if applicable)	
	Expansion, rasson, raizona		2010	20	12		
1)	(3) BRIEF DESCRIPTION (Brief scope, size, cost, etc.) AND	SPECIFIC ROLF				a current firm	
1) (3) BRIEF DESCRIPTION ( <i>Brief scope, size, cost, etc.</i> ) AND SPECIFIC ROLE  Project Manager; renovation and expansion of the existing MPR building. Improvements included: demolition and renovation, adding ap 1,800 SF of building expansion; PE space, stage, restrooms and storage, wood joists, masonry and steel construction, electric mechanical/HVAC, new fire sprinkler system, site work and exterior canopies. (existing building was approximately 6,400 SF). Cost: \$1,167				adding approximately n, electrical, lighting,			
	(1) TITLE AND LOCATION (City and State)			(2) Year Cor	mpleted	t	
	Amphitheater Public Schools Canyon del Oro (CI		Professional Services	Со	nstructi	ion (if applicable)	
	Middle School Tennis Courts Renovation/Addition and Site Improvements; Oro Valley, Arizona		2011	20	12		
2) (3) BRIEF DESCRIPTION (Brief scope, size, cost, etc.) AND SPECIFIC ROLE  Project Manager; CDO - replace and reconfigure layout of tennis courts to support instruction and team play. Modifications to existing softb and irrigation, including new sprinkler locations. Provide outdoor sports learning space between stadium and locker rooms. La Cima – replace asphalt tennis and basketball courts with new concrete courts, including tennis net standards and basketball backboard standards. Provide net link fencing. Cost: \$995,050 (CDO); \$170,655 (La Cima).				existing softball field ima – replace existing			
	(1) TITLE AND LOCATION (City and State)			(2) Year Completed			
	Amphitheater Public Schools Nash Elementary S	chool Library Renovation;	Professional Services	Co	nstructi	ion (if applicable)	
	Tucson, Arizona		2012	20	13		
3) (3) BRIEF DESCRIPTION (Brief scope, size, cost, etc.) AND SPECIFIC ROLE Project Manager; reconfigure/renovate 4,700 SF of library space to meet programmatic and technology needs through design method. Included space planning, ADA compliance upgrades, information technology infrastructure upgrades, sustainability and to HVAC, insulation and lighting. Cost: \$352,288.			sign-bid-build delivery				
	(1) TITLE AND LOCATION (City and State)			(2) Year Co	mpletec	t	
	City of Mesa Parks and Recreation ADA Study; M	esa, Arizona	Professional Services	Со	nstructi	ion (if applicable)	
			2013	N/	Δ		
4)	(3) BRIEF DESCRIPTION (Brief scope, size, cost, etc.) ANI	D SPECIFIC ROLE	<u></u>			h ourrant firm	
<del>"</del> )	Project Manager; currently investigating over 90 C Accessible Design (Phase I). This includes intensive solutions to deficiencies. Phase III will be to develop	ity of Mesa Parks and Recreation e field verification, measurement, a	facilities for compli	deficiencies.	e 2010 Phase	O ADA Standards for e II will be to propose	
	(1) TITLE AND LOCATION (City and State)			(2) Year Cor	-		
	Catalina Foothills School District Canyon View El Renovation; Tucson, Arizona	ementary School Exterior	Professional Services	Co	nstructi	ion (if applicable)	
	ingilovation, rucson, Anzona		2013	20	13		
5)	(3) BRIEF DESCRIPTION (Brief scope, size, cost, etc.) ANI	D SPECIFIC ROLE		f project perform		h current firm	
<b>5</b> )	Project Manager; update facilities image and increase coating. Update exterior finishes, complete restrocreplacement of all interior and exterior building and exterior hardware for ADA compliance and new securior	e energy efficiency with new plumbi om remodel (replace all plumbing site lighting with LED and EMCS	ng, lighting, HVAC, E fixtures, accessorie	EMCS control es, lighting a	ls, mas nd fini	sonry sealant and roof ishes; add skylights),	

e. NAME		f. ROLE IN THIS CONTRACT	ROLE IN THIS CONTRACT		c. YEARS EXPERIENCE		
	Terry Lee, AIA	Senior Project Manager / Co Administration	nstruction	5. TOTAL <b>32</b>	6.	WITH CURRENT FIRM	
d. FII	RM NAME AND LOCATION (City and State)						
В	reckenridge Group, Inc. Architects/Planners;	Tucson, Arizona					
e. ED	UCATION (DEGREE AND SPECIALIZATION)	f. CURRENT	PROFESSIONAL REG	ISTRATION (	STATE	AND DISCIPLINE)	
	and along of Analytications, Hudinanaity, of Animona	Dowletow	al Avalaitaat Aviv	#20424	_		
I	achelor of Architecture, University of Arizona HER PROFESSIONAL QUALIFICATIONS (Publications, Org.		ed Architect Arizo	ona #20132			
ľ	, , ,	anizations, Training, Awards, etc.)					
Ar	nerican Institute of Architects						
		U DELEVANT DOOLECTS					
	(1) TITLE AND LOCATION (City and State)	H. RELEVANT PROJECTS	<u> </u>	(2) Year Co	mpletec	<u> </u>	
	AAFES Kirtland Air Force Base Shopping Center;	Albuquerque, New Mexico	Professional Services	. ,		on (if applicable)	
			2040		040		
	(3) BRIEF DESCRIPTION (Brief scope, size, cost, etc.) AND	SDECIEIC DOLE	2010		013		
	Project Manager; new 93,539 SF Base Exchange S			project perform. The new s			
	exterior walls with a steel frame interior structure. Incl	udes central Retail Sales Floor, Me	rchandise Processir	ng Area with	loading	docks, receiving and	
	Administration Offices in the rear, and Mall with a buildings, which were to remain in use during constru						
	other. Project achieved a LEED Silver rating. Cost: \$1	5.2 million.					
	(1) TITLE AND LOCATION (City and State)			(2) Year Co	•		
	AAFES Fort Sill Dual Food; Lawton, Oklahoma		Professional Services	C	onstructi	on (if applicable)	
			2010	26	013		
2)	(3) BRIEF DESCRIPTION (Brief scope, size, cost, etc.) ANI		X Check if	f project perfor	med with	h current firm	
	Project Manager; new 5,160 SF building, includes co customers, drive-through lanes for each concession						
	approximately 3.90 +/- acres and accommodates a	pproximately 136 parking spaces.	The building was	designed to	the U	SGBC's LEED Silver	
	requirements. As such, the building is designed to be	at least 15% more energy efficient t	than other comparat	ole buildings.	Cost: \$	3.4 million.	
	(1) TITLE AND LOCATION (City and State)	shool Library Danayation	Desta e i e e el Comita e	(2) Year Co	•		
	Amphitheater Public Schools Nash Elementary Se Tucson, Arizona	chool Library Renovation;	Professional Services		onstructi	on (if applicable)	
			2012	20	013		
3)	(3) BRIEF DESCRIPTION (Brief scope, size, cost, etc.) ANI		f project perfor				
	Construction Administrator; reconfigure/renovate 4,7 delivery method. Included space planning, ADA com						
	upgrades to HVAC, insulation and lighting. Cost: \$352		3,	,			
			1	(8) ) (			
	(1) TITLE AND LOCATION (City and State)  AAFES West Point USMA Shopping Center Image	Upgrade; West Point, New York	Professional Services	(2) Year Co		on <i>(if applicable)</i>	
	5		i Totessional Services		Jistiacti	эн (п аррпсаые)	
			2011	20	012		
4)	(3) BRIEF DESCRIPTION (Brief scope, size, cost, etc.) ANI Project Manager; project scope consisted of the interi			f project perfor			
	interior was made to comply with current codes and	new finishes applied in specified ar	eas. Major renovation	ons were ma			
	food court adding two concessions and a Taco Bell. N	lo expansions to the building were of	constructed. Cost: \$4	I.1 million.			
	(A) TITLE AND LOCATION (O'C., LOCAL)		<u> </u>	(2) Year Co	ompleter	1	
	(1) TITLE AND LOCATION (City and State) Victory Assembly of God Classroom Addition; Tu	cson, Arizona	Professional Services			on (if applicable)	
	(2) PRIEF DESCRIPTION (Print coops size coot standard	O SDECIEIC BOLE	2013		014		
5)	(3) BRIEF DESCRIPTION (Brief scope, size, cost, etc.) ANI Project Manager; Breckenridge Group is designing a			f project perfor urch sanctua			
	completed in 2008. New spaces include two audit	oriums, twelve general use classr					
	childhood chapel, indoor playground, lobby and restro	oms. Budget: \$4 million.					
						Į.	

g.	NAME	h. ROLE IN THIS CONTRACT		c. YEARS EXPERIENCE			
Tom Reich, AIA		Project Architect		7. TOTAL <b>34</b>	8. WITH CURRENT FIRM		
d. FI	RM NAME AND LOCATION (City and State)	<u>-</u>					
В	reckenridge Group, Inc. Architects/Planners	; Tucson, Arizona					
e. ED	DUCATION (DEGREE AND SPECIALIZATION)	f. CURRENT	PROFESSIONAL REG	ISTRATION (ST.	ATE AND DISCIPLINE)		
	achalar of Architectura University of Arizon	n Dogistov	ad Arabitaat Arima	ana #4.4700			
	achelor of Architecture, University of Arizona THER PROFESSIONAL QUALIFICATIONS (Publications, Or	<u> </u>	ed Architect Arizo	Jiia #14/90			
ľ	,	janizations, Training, Awards, etc.)					
AI	merican Institute of Architects						
		H. RELEVANT PROJECTS					
	(1) TITLE AND LOCATION (City and State)	TI. RELEVANT FROJECTS		(2) Year Comp	pleted		
	AAFES Kirtland Air Force Base Shopping Center	; Albuquerque, New Mexico	Professional Services		struction <i>(if applicable)</i>		
			2040		•		
	(2) PRIEF RESCRIPTION (Priof scene size seet etc.) AND	O SDECIEIO DOI E	2010	2013			
1)	(3) BRIEF DESCRIPTION (Brief scope, size, cost, etc.) AND Project Architect; new 93,539 SF Base Exchange S		Check if g Exchange facility.	The new stru	d with current firm ucture has tilt-up concrete		
	exterior walls with a steel frame interior structure. Inc	cludes central Retail Sales Floor, Me	rchandise Processir	ng Area with loa	ading docks, receiving and		
	Administration Offices in the rear, and Mall with a buildings, which were to remain in use during constru						
	other. The project achieved a LEED Silver rating. Co.			Ū	, and the second		
	(1) TITLE AND LOCATION (City and State)	1) TITLE AND LOCATION (City and State)		(2) Year Comp	r Completed		
	Yuma Union High School District Cibola High School Classroom Addition; Yuma Arizona		Professional Services	Cons	struction (if applicable)		
	Alizona		2011	2012	2		
2)	(3) BRIEF DESCRIPTION (Brief scope, size, cost, etc.) AN	(3) BRIEF DESCRIPTION (Brief scope, size, cost, etc.) AND SPECIFIC ROLE			ed with current firm		
,	Project Architect; new classroom addition near the northwest corner of the existing Cibola High School campus. The new classroom addition attaches						
	to the existing Physical Education building forming a Size: 13,400 SF. Cost: \$1,813,394.	a courtyard. The completed courtyar	rd was a planned us	e for some out	tdoor classroom activities.		
	,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,						
	(1) TITLE AND LOCATION (City and State)		(2) Year Completed				
	Nogales School District Roof Repair Projects: No Carpenter Middle School, Drainage Improvement		Professional Services		struction (if applicable)		
	Nogales, Arizona	s at Lincoln Elementary School,	2013	2013	3		
3)	(3) BRIEF DESCRIPTION (Brief scope, size, cost, etc.) AN	X Check it	f project performe	ed with current firm			
,	Project Architect; re-roofing of 13 buildings at two can	npuses. Included five different recom					
	improvements at third campus. Cost: \$411,000.						
	(1) TITLE AND LOCATION (City and State)			(2) Year Comp	pleted		
	University of Arizona ICA Sand Volleyball Court	Renovation; Tucson, Arizona	Professional Services	Cons	struction (if applicable)		
			2013	2013	3		
4)	(3) BRIEF DESCRIPTION (Brief scope, size, cost, etc.) AN	D SPECIFIC ROLE	II.	project performe	ed with current firm		
		Project Architect; four new sand volleyball courts for Pac-12 team play located on the former football practice field. Includes spectator viewing on three sides; created grass berm on one end to incorporate beach aesthetic. Imported Pacific beach sand, which is lighter and less abrasive than inland					
	sides; created grass berm on one end to incorporal sourced sand. Master planning for future teams room			i is lighter and	less abrasive than inland		
	, ,	•					
	(1) TITLE AND LOCATION (City and State)			(2) Year Comp			
	Catalina Foothills School District Canyon View E Renovation; Tucson, Arizona	lementary School Exterior	Professional Services	Cons	struction (if applicable)		
	Trenovation, rueson, Anzona		2013	2013	3		
5)	(3) BRIEF DESCRIPTION (Brief scope, size, cost, etc.) AN	D SPECIFIC ROLE		I project performe	ed with current firm		
,	Project Architect; update facilities image and increase		ng, lightin <del>g, H</del> VAC, E	EMCS controls,	masonry sealant and roof		
	coating. Update exterior finishes, complete restro replacement of all interior and exterior building and						
	exterior hardware for ADA compliance and new secu		. , .				

i.	NAME	i. ROLE IN THIS CONTRACT		<del></del>			
1.	INAME	J. KOLL IN THIS CONTRACT		C. Y	EARS	ARS EXPERIENCE	
	Shane Chism, AIA, LEED AP BD+C	Project Architect	9. TOTAL <b>13</b>	10	. WITH CURRENT FIRM <b>0.5</b>		
d. FI	IRM NAME AND LOCATION (City and State)						
В	reckenridge Group, Inc. Architects/Planners;	Tucson, Arizona					
e. EC	DUCATION (DEGREE AND SPECIALIZATION)	f. CURRENT	PROFESSIONAL REGIS	STRATION (S	STATE	AND DISCIPLINE)	
В	achelor of Architecture, University of Arizona	a Register	ed Architect Arizo	na #47864	ļ		
	THER PROFESSIONAL QUALIFICATIONS (Publications, Org						
A	merican Institute of Architects; LEED Accred	ited Professional					
	, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,						
		H. RELEVANT PROJECTS					
	(1) TITLE AND LOCATION (City and State)	Tuesan Avirana		(2) Year Co	mplete	d	
l	Andrada Polytechnic and Pantano High Schools;	rucson, Arizona	Professional Services	Co	nstruct	tion (if applicable)	
			2011		)12		
1)	(3) BRIEF DESCRIPTION (Brief scope, size, cost, etc.) AND		Check if p	roject perform	ned witl	h current firm	
')	Project Architect; 650 student, two-story 78,941 SF b commons. Growth is anticipated for 1,100 students.						
	separate identities. The sustainable design is seekir						
	harvesting, use of salvaged materials, and a solar partition	photovoltaic system. This dual cam	pus includes an on-s	site water tre	eatme	nt facility. Cost: \$15.5	
	million.						
	(1) TITLE AND LOCATION (City and State)  Vail Academy and High School; Tucson, Arizona		Drofossional Carvisos	(2) Year Co			
	vali Academy and High School, Tucson, Anzona		Professional Services	Co	nstruct	tion (if applicable)	
			2009	20	010		
2)		(3) BRIEF DESCRIPTION (Brief scope, size, cost, etc.) AND SPECIFIC ROLE  Check if project performed with current firm					
	Project Architect; 450 student, 34,171 SF high school achieved a LEED Gold rating. Sustainable features						
	mechanical ventilation, daylighting, and a highly insu						
	generators. Cost: \$5.88 million.						
	(1) TITLE AND LOCATION (City and State) Oro Valley Aquatic Center; Oro Valley, Arizona		Destancianal Comissa	(2) Year Completed es Construction (if applicable)			
	Oro Valley Aquatic Center, Oro Valley, Arizona		Professional Services	Co	nstruct	ion (ir applicable)	
			2012	20	)13		
3)	(3) BRIEF DESCRIPTION (Brief scope, size, cost, etc.) AN					ith current firm	
	Project Architect; One million gallon aquatic complex used to host national competitions while also serving as a community pool for the residents of Oro Valley. 6,400 SF, including bath house, pump room, salvaged and repurposed storage building, 50-meter competition swimming pool designed to						
	USA Swimming standards, 25-yard recreation pool,	1-meter and 3-meter diving boards,					
	included a scoreboard and event lighting. Cost: \$4.67	million.					
	(1) TITLE AND LOCATION (City and State)  Vail School District Solar Photovoltaic Systems;	Vail and Tucson Arizona		(2) Year Co	-		
	vali school bistrict solar i notovoltaic systems,	Vali and Tucson, Anzona	Professional Services	Со	nstruct	tion (if applicable)	
			2012	20	13		
4)	(3) BRIEF DESCRIPTION (Brief scope, size, cost, etc.) AN		Check if project performed with current firm				
	Project Manager/Project Architect; nearly 1 megaw Mesquite Elementary School, Old Vail Middle Scho	n at three sites in the Vail Unified School District, including					
	agreement (PPA) at no cost to the District. The pan						
	courts and parking areas. Cost: \$2,365,524.						
	(1) TITLE AND LOCATION (City and State) Tucson Water Eastside Service Center; Tucson, A	Arizono	Destancianal Comissa	(2) Year Co			
	Tucson water Eastside Service Center; Tucson, A	Arizona	Professional Services	Co	nstruct	tion (if applicable)	
			2008	20	12		
5)	(3) BRIEF DESCRIPTION (Brief scope, size, cost, etc.) AN					th current firm	
	Project Architect; self-contained satellite facility for Tubuilding containing offices and a shop area, a se						
	Approximately 75 employees are based at this satellit					ratabol otorago yara.	

## 5. EXAMPLE PROJECTS WHICH BEST ILLUSTRATE PROPOSED TEAM'S QUALIFICATIONS FOR THIS CONTRACT

(Present no more than five (5) projects. Complete one Section 5 for each project.)

a. TITLE AND LOCATION (City and State)

b. YEAR COMPLETED

Tubac Fire District Fire Station No. 3 (& 4); Tubac, Arizona

PROFESSIONAL SERVICES 2009

CONSTRUCTION (If applicable)

2010

#### 23. PROJECT OWNER'S INFORMATION

c .PROJECT OWNER

d .DOLLAR AMOUNT OF PROJECT

e. TOTAL COST OF PROJECT

Tubac Fire District; Kevin Keeley, Fire Chief \$2,500,000 (No. 3) / \$2,500,000 (No. 4)

\$2,344,753 (No. 3) / \$2,221,920 (No. 4)

f. BRIEF DESCRIPTION OF PROJECT AND RELEVANCE TO THIS CONTRACT (include scope, size, and length of project)



Strategically located on the east side of the Santa Cruz River, this fire station dramatically decreases the response time to Tubac's east side. The 9,200 square foot station includes 3 apparatus bays, a waiting area, a fitness/exercise room, a kitchen, dorms for eight firefighters (per shift), a dining area and a fourteen-person community room. It was designed as a prototype station for Tubac Fire District and was used for Station No. 4 with some modifications for the new site.

One of the challenges was to bring the communication and utility infrastructure to the remote 3-acre site. Our solution was using microwave antenna for transmission. An underground propane tank was installed to provide back-up energy generation.

The station incorporates several sustainable features including: low flow plumbing fixtures, native landscaping and water harvesting to conserve

water; cool roof materials and high performance windows to conserve energy; and photovoltaic roof panels to generate electricity from the sun.

Designed to blend with the residential scale of the neighborhood the new station utilizes materials, forms and colors indicative of the architectural flavor of the region. Building Information Modeling was used in the design process. Cost: \$2,344,753; Completed March 2010.

Station No. 4 had its own set of challenges: the 2.1 acre site has an abrupt drop odd in the site to topography, which limited the buildable area to approx 1.5 acres. Our solution: Through grading, proper building siting and appropriate placement of parking and circulation, no sacrifices in the design were required. Cost: \$2,221,920; Completed August 2010.





# 5. EXAMPLE PROJECTS WHICH BEST ILLUSTRATE PROPOSED TEAM'S QUALIFICATIONS FOR THIS CONTRACT

(Present no more than five (5) projects. Complete one Section 5 for each project.)

a. TITLE AND LOCATION (City and State)

b. YEAR COMPLETED

Canyon View Elementary School MPR Expansion; Tucson, Arizona (Open-End Contract)

PROFESSIONAL SERVICES
2011

CONSTRUCTION (If applicable)

|201

2012

23. PROJECT OWNER'S INFORMATION

c .PROJECT OWNER

d .DOLLAR AMOUNT OF PROJECT

e. TOTAL COST OF PROJECT

Catalina Foothills School District #16; Basil Callimanis, Director of Facilities \$1,250,000

\$1,167,702

BRIEF DESCRIPTION OF PROJECT AND RELEVANCE TO THIS CONTRACT (include scope, size, and length of project)



Breckenridge Group provided professional services as required to investigate, design, document and provide bidding and construction support for Catalina Foothills School District's (CFSD) proposed Canyon View Elementary School MPR Expansion Project.

The existing Multi Purpose Room (MPR) contained approximately 2,300 square feet in the main room (about 15 feet high), stage, kitchen, bathrooms, storage and PE office. The existing MPR did not meet SFB space standards for the number of students on campus for indoor physical education. In addition, the kitchen area needed to incorporate all the latest Health Department standards for serving food, including a three-compartment sink, serving areas and assisting in the flow of the kids through the line during the lunch period. The District asked our design team to investigate planning to either expand the existing facility by approximately 1,800 square feet or build a new one on the campus.

A needs assessment to evaluate existing conditions and what was necessary to meet SFB standards led to the final decision to expand the existing facility to meet the needs and to meet the available budget and school year schedule. The project opened in January 2012, right on schedule. Project Cost: \$1,167,702.

The Canyon View Elementary School MPR serves both its students and the neighborhood community. The renovation and expansion was the first since the school opened more than 30 years ago. Required to meet mandated State physical education space requirements, the renovation was also intended to revitalize the building for its multi-use functions and to improve use of space, circulation, storage, acoustics and energy efficiency.



Improvements to the existing 6,400 square feet included gutting the entire structure and adding 1,800 square feet of new space. Reorientation of the stage opposite the existing entry was key in the overall redesign, and allowed for the accommodation of more students for P.E. classes as well as assemblies and community functions.

High-efficiency waterless urinals, dual-flush toilets, auto-shut-off faucets, solar tubular skylight systems throughout (dimmer controls in MPR for room darkening when needed), and LED light fixtures throughout (with daylight harvesting sensors and remote access control) were specified to attain the District's goal for energy efficiency.

On this project Breckenridge Group used Revit (BIM) to explore multiple design options in real time with the Client, saving valuable time and ensuring the project met the Client's needs and exceeded their expectations.

Exterior improvements included providing sun and rain protection at the student drop-off/pick-up location and expanding the outdoor gathering area near the main entrance.



For this project, Breckenridge Group was recognized for "Outstanding Design" in the 2012 American School & University magazine's Architectural Portfolio Competition.

## 5. EXAMPLE PROJECTS WHICH BEST ILLUSTRATE PROPOSED TEAM'S QUALIFICATIONS FOR THIS CONTRACT

(Present no more than five (5) projects. Complete one Section 5 for each project.)

a. TITLE AND LOCATION (City and State)

b. YEAR COMPLETED

University of Arizona ICA Facilities Additions and Renovations; Tucson, Arizona (Open-End Contract)

PROFESSIONAL SERVICES 2007

CONSTRUCTION (If applicable)

2009

2009

#### 23. PROJECT OWNER'S INFORMATION

c .PROJECT OWNER

d .DOLLAR AMOUNT OF PROJECT

e. TOTAL COST OF PROJECT

University of Arizona; Steve Kozachik, Asst. Athletic Director of Facilities

\$18,500,000

\$18,846,542

BRIEF DESCRIPTION OF PROJECT AND RELEVANCE TO THIS CONTRACT (include scope, size, and length of project)



This project is a series of additions and renovations for University of Arizona Athletics and consists of three components; a new 43,150 SF indoor practice gym, an expansion of the existing gymnastics facility and a new 6,150 SF diving pool. The indoor practice gym features two competition sized basketball courts, five mini basketball courts, and seven volleyball courts. The facility is depressed one story into the ground and is linked at the lower level to the McKale Center, the main competition venue for basketball and volleyball. An upper level entry provides access from grade level for special events such as fund raising and summer camps. An upper level mezzanine surrounds the playing surface below and is used for filming and observation. Project Cost: \$18.8 million.

**Richard Jefferson Gymnasium:** The gym is a new practice facility for the University of Arizona's men's and women's basketball and women's

volleyball programs. The 29,700 SF gymnasium houses two full-court basketball courts running end to end, as well as room for four basketball cross courts and five volleyball cross courts. A drop-down curtain separates the main courts in order to add flexibility to the multi-use facility. In order to maintain the high ceiling necessary for volleyball practice, but avoid overpowering nearby residential neighborhoods, the building is recessed 17 feet into the ground.

Kasser Family Pool and Diving Facility: A first class diving center, training pool, and water polo facility, the team of TMP/Breckenridge Group Architects and Counsilman-Hunsaker designed the new Kasser Family Pool and Diving Facility at the UA Hillenbrand Aquatic Center. The Kasser Family Pool is 25 meters x 33 meters, with a consistent depth of 17'. Containing 1.1 million gallons of water, the pool is able to be split into two sections so both diving and swimming can take

place simultaneously. The 10 meter platform is currently the only one in operation in Southern Arizona. The facility also has platforms at the 7.5 and 5 meter levels as well as spring boards at 1 and 3 meters. The 10-meter platform is wide enough for two divers at once to accommodate synchronized diving.

The facility also includes a spa to keep divers warm between dives, a dry-floor training facility (integral to diving practice and training regimens), and seating for 550 spectators. The facility boasts a state-of-the-art sparger system. The wiring, technology and replastered/retiled deck and pool as well as brand new timing system, video board, records board, starting blocks, and audio system were part of the Kasser Family Pool addition. The old diving well, equipped with turn targets to add perspective for swimmers, and lanes for training and warm-ups, is now used extensively on competition dates.

Mary Roby Gymnastics Training Center: The existing gymnastics training facility required an expansion and renovation to accommodate new NCAA guidelines for runway length. The increased size of the facility also accommodates additional equipment and a state-of-the-art multi-station digital video system to assist in the perfection of gymnasts' routines. Architecturally, the addition on the west (entry) end of the building provides a greater identity to the facility.



## 5. EXAMPLE PROJECTS WHICH BEST ILLUSTRATE PROPOSED TEAM'S QUALIFICATIONS FOR THIS CONTRACT

(Present no more than five (5) projects. Complete one Section 5 for each project.)

a. TITLE AND LOCATION (City and State)

b. YEAR COMPLETED

Tucson Unified School District Strategic Plan 2011/2012; Tucson, Arizona (Open-End Contract)

PROFESSIONAL SERVICES 2010

CONSTRUCTION (If applicable)

N/A

N/A

#### 23. PROJECT OWNER'S INFORMATION

c .PROJECT OWNER

d .DOLLAR AMOUNT OF PROJECT

e. TOTAL COST OF PROJECT

Tucson Unified School District; Bryant Nodine, MIS/Planning Program Mgr.

\$35,000 (study only)

N/A

BRIEF DESCRIPTION OF PROJECT AND RELEVANCE TO THIS CONTRACT (include scope, size, and length of project)

The goal of the Tucson Unified School District 2011–2012 Strategic Plan was to capitalize on quality instruction and excelling programs, as well as to improve equity and access, while allocating the District's limited resources more cost-effectively. The document outlines the process that was undertaken and the vast amount of data provided by the District. This data constituted a clear and unambiguous resource for developing a range of options that supports the highly performing schools and attempts to replicate their success to reach more students.

Beginning in mid-November 2010, TUSD staff along with Breckenridge Group Architects/Planners and Burt Hill Architects worked to collect, organize, and analyze District data for this report. From mid-December through mid-January, the team conducted numerous workshops and meetings to present the data and solicit



feedback from the community, committees, administrators, and staff regarding the issues facing the District and what options are available to it. From the more than 300 comments documented, a series of options were developed which had the potential of meeting the goals of the Strategic Plan. District staff and Breckenridge Group created a consistent framework for evaluating each option to determine which ones would most benefit the District's students.



The resulting options were then examined by District staff and the Educational Facilities Plan Task Force (EFPTF) members who fine-tuned them and generated additional options and permutations of the original options, resulting in the 44 options included in this report. The relative merits of these 44 options were then analyzed within a consistent framework, resulting in the staff and task force recommendations outlined in the report.

This report's recommendations advocate a variety of actions, including the potential closure of three schools, the reconfiguration of several schools to K–8, and the conversion of one middle school to a high school. The driving force behind each recommendation is improved academic success, improved student choice and opportunities, and the best use of District resources.

#### 5. EXAMPLE PROJECTS WHICH BEST ILLUSTRATE PROPOSED TEAM'S QUALIFICATIONS FOR THIS CONTRACT (Present no more than five (5) projects. Complete one Section 5 for each project.) a. TITLE AND LOCATION (City and State) b. YEAR COMPLETED PROFESSIONAL SERVICES CONSTRUCTION (If applicable) Golder Ranch Fire District Linda Vista Fire Station; Oro Valley, Arizona (Open-2009 2010 End Contract) 23. PROJECT OWNER'S INFORMATION c .PROJECT OWNER d .DOLLAR AMOUNT OF PROJECT e. TOTAL COST OF PROJECT

\$2,925,810



**Golder Ranch Fire District:** 

Randy Karrer, Fire Chief

Breckenridge Group designed this 10,259 SF fire station which includes offices, living space, a conference room and a 3-part apparatus bay. The fire station houses eight firemen per shift. Since the facility is located in a mixed-use area that includes residents; one of the design requirements was that the station to "fit in" with its neighbors. In order to reach a goal of LEED Silver certification, Breckenridge Group's plan integrates sustainable features in five key areas:

\$2,033,205

Sustainable Sites. Breckenridge Group took steps to minimize the building's impact on ecosystems and waterways; encourage regionally appropriate landscaping: reward smart transportation choices: control

stormwater runoff; and reduce erosion, light pollution, heat island effect and construction-related pollution.

Water Efficiency. We were able reduce water consumption by over 20%, achieved through more efficient appliances, fixtures and fittings inside and water-wise landscaping outside.

**Energy & Atmosphere.** The station realizes a 23% energy reduction through a wide variety of energy strategies including: commissioning; efficient design and construction; efficient appliances, systems and lighting; and the use of renewable and

clean sources of energy, generated on and off-site. The station utilizes a solar PV system and a solar thermal system to supply over 7.5% of their yearly energy needs.

Materials & Resources. Many of the materials used throughout the facility were sustainably grown, harvested, produced and transported products and materials. In addition, there will is an ongoing recycling program.

Indoor Environmental Quality. The station implemented strategies to improve indoor air quality as well as provide access to natural daylight and views.

The project successfully achieved a LEED Silver rating. Project Cost: \$2,033,205. Completed September 2010.



#### **6. ADDITIONAL INFORMATION**

a. PROVIDE ANY ADDITIONAL INFORMATION YOU FEEL MAY BE NECESSARY TO DESCRIBE YOUR FIRMS QUALIFICATIONS. (ATTACH ADDITIONAL SHEETS AS NEEDED.)

### The Breckenridge Difference

What sets Breckenridge Group apart is our desire to **listen to our Clients' needs**, translate those needs into visions, and follow the visions through to completion. Our focus and top priority is our Client. Founded on the premise that the highest **quality of service** can be provided by a select group of architects, concentrating on a select group of Clients, we are truly Client-centered in our approach to design. The end results are award-winning, **purposeful**, **and efficient** projects that are a source of pride for their community.

Breckenridge Group has a tremendous amount of **specialized experience** with many open-end or indefinite-delivery type contracts for cities, governmental agencies, universities and school districts. In fact, we have completed nearly **90 years** cumulative experience on such contracts:

University of Arizona	18 years
City of Tucson	13 years
Tucson Unified School District	12 years
Pima Community College	11 years
Pima County	10 years
Army & Air Force Exchange Service	10 years
City of Phoenix	4 years
Catalina Foothills School District	4 years
U.S. Postal Service	3 years
City of Tempe	2 years
City of Mesa	current
City of Yuma	current

Through our experience with open-end/on-call contracts we realize that these projects will have **limited funds and tight schedules**. We understand on-call/open-end service types tend to **vary greatly in scope and scale**, and could be made up of multiple simultaneous projects. We are prepared to meet those challenges. We know that each project is very different from another, but each requires the same **professional level of attention** as a new design/construction project. The success of your projects is largely determined by the **quality of communication** between all participants. Our approach has the advantage of increasing staff's sense of ownership, providing an understanding of the trade-offs of each scheme and, finally, helping to build consensus. In general, aspects of our approach include:

Listening to what you say. Our team brings experience, but that experience will only be constructive in the context of a sensitive understanding of your needs. We suggest meeting with staff to inquire what issues/items the building's users would like incorporated into the building design. Whatever design ideas are proposed, a route must be followed which provides opportunities for all interested parties to be involved in a meaningful way. This ensures that those who are central to making the projects a success have an impact on its planning and design, are supportive of the decisions made, and have a personal stake in the final outcome. The success of the project hinges on developing our partnership with the Client by establishing a shared objective through joint meetings early on. Together we can minimize or eliminate concerns of the Client. We understand from the outset that the Client needs to manage the budget and fees carefully. Breckenridge Group believes in an interactive approach, where ideas, opinions and options are evaluated before making final decisions in order to derive at the best possible solution.

**Understanding** the full scope of services early on. Getting the design/planning committee to come to a consensus of the various alternatives presented is a very important part of us, as the architect, leading the design process. Additionally, we realize the importance of recognizing what the critical areas of the project are and what type of design discipline is needed early in the design. Our **past experience** will help us to meet the critical times outlined in the project schedule.

**Planning** ahead. For facilities that are occupied during construction, which could be the case for your project, we developed a process that allows for construction to proceed, while not impacting the continuous operations of the facilities. At the earliest stages, the building operations are studied in concert with the proposed scope of new work. From this, a phasing plan is developed that allows construction to proceed while the building is in operation. The phasing plan is developed with the full involvement of the site committee, along with the user's staff and the consulting design team. This ensures that both the building operations and construction work can operate simultaneously with a **minimum of disruptions**.

**Developing** strategies. For a traditional new construction project, Breckenridge Group will follow a traditional project development structure:

Programming, Schematic Design, Design Development, Contract Documents, and Construction Administration. Through our experience, however, we understand that the potential scope of your contract will be made up of smaller projects, each differing in size and type. For each project we will evaluate the necessary parts of the process and eliminate any unnecessary steps to reduce cost and time involved. One strategy of ours has been to group several small projects into one bid document, creating a project that becomes more cost efficient to the bidders. Past examples have included grouping the re-roofing of several facilities into one contract or the painting of various buildings into one contract. Other strategies have been to group projects which are in close proximity together as a single bid or to have multiple bids. This allows you to maximize your specific purchasing constraints.

**Maintaining** the established project budget. We understand the importance of fiscal responsibility. Our previous public sector projects demonstrate our commitment to designing creative and effective solutions at or below the established budget. Our solutions are **cost effective and money saving** for years to come. To us, a successful project is a project that is within budget, on schedule and has zero or minimal change orders. Our goal is to make every project successful.

## Why select Breckenridge Group?

### 32 years of Planning and Design Experience.

Familiarity with the states procedures and requirements in addition to your need for quality services and facility design.

### **Experience Providing On-Call/As-Needed Services**

Willingness to apply a professional approach regardless of a project's size, scope or type.

#### Renovation and Expansion Experience

Ability to resolve complex issues related to project phasing, construction access and user safety that arise in renovation/expansion projects.

## **Full Range of Services**

Through our two offices conveniently located in downtown Tucson and Tempe, we offer **comprehensive architectural services** including master planning, programming, site analysis/selection, facility audit, ADA survey, design, remodel and interior design, space planning, LEED/sustainable design, computer modeling, renderings, construction documents, bidding support, contract administration, and record drawings.

## 7. ANNUAL AVERAGE PROFESSIONAL SERVICES REVENUES OF FIRM FOR LAST 3 YEARS

a.	Percentage of Total Work Attributable to State, Federal and Municipal Government Work:	98%
b.	Percentage of Total Work Attributable to Non-Government Work:	2%

t of facts.
Date: 12/12/2013
Title: <u>President</u>