	ATTACHMENT I – General Qualifications **ANNUAL REQUEST FOR QUALIFICATIONS AND EXPERIENCE NO: ADSPO15-00004729**	**STATE PROCUREMENT OFFICE** **Department of Administration** **100 North 15th Avenue, Suite 201** **Phoenix, Arizona 85007**

(If a firm has branch offices, complete for each specific branch office seeking work.)

1. ***Annual Request for Qualifications***

a.	FIRM (OR BRANCH OFFICE) NAME:	Statistical Research, Inc.
b.	FIRM (OR BRANCH OFFICE) STREET:	6099 East Speedway Blvd
c.	FIRM (OR BRANCH OFFICE) CITY:	Tucson
d.	FIRM (OR BRANCH OFFICE) STATE:	AZ
e.	FIRM (OR BRANCH OFFICE) ZIP CODE:	85712

f.	YEAR ESTABLISHED:	1983

(g1).	OWNERSHIP - TYPE:	Corporation
(g2)	OWNERSHIP - SMALL BUSINESS STATUS:	No

h.	POINT OF CONTACT NAME AND TITLE:	Eric Klucas. Principal Investigator
i.	POINT OF CONTACT TELEPHONE NUMBER:	520-721-4309
j.	POINT OF CONTACT E-MAIL ADDRESS:	eklucas@sricrm.com

k.	NAME OF FIRM *(If block 1a is a branch office)*:	

2. EMPLOYEES BY DISCIPLINE

a. Discipline Title	b. Function: Primary (P) or Secondary (S)	c. No. of Employees - Firm	d. No. of Employees - Branch
Archaeologist	P	38	
CADD Technician	P	10	
Geographic Information Systems Specialist	P	5	
Total			

3. PROFILE OF FIRM'S EXPERIENCE AND ANNUAL AVERAGE REVENUE FOR LAST YEAR

a. Approximate No. of Projects	b. Experience	c. Revenue Index Number *(see below)*
124	Ecological and Archaeological Investigations	8

PROFESSIONAL SERVICES REVENUE INDEX NUMBER

1.	Less than $100,000	6.	$2 million to less than $5 million
2.	$100,000 to less than $250,000	7.	$5 million to less than $10 million
3.	$250,000 to less than $500,000	8.	$10 million to less than $25 million
4.	$500,000 to less than $1 million	9.	$25 million to less than $50 million
5.	$1 million to less than $2 million	10.	$50 million or greater

4. Resumes of Key Personnel Proposed for this Contract (*Complete one Section 4 for each key person.)*

a. NAME: Jesse A. M. Ballenger	b. ROLE IN THIS CONTRACT Project director, archaeology	c. YEARS EXPERIENCE 1. TOTAL: 14	2. WITH CURRENT FIRM: 7

d. LOCATION *(City and State)*: Tucson, AZ

e. EDUCATION *(DEGREE AND SPECIALIZATION):* Ph.D., Anthropology, 2010	f. PROFESSIONAL TRAINING – REGISTRATIONS: Register of Professional Archaeologists (No. 989142)

g. OTHER PROFESSIONAL QUALIFICATIONS *(Organizations, Awards, etc.)* Arizona Archaeological and Historical Society (president); Arizona Archaeological Council (board member at-large): Society for American Archaeology

H. RELEVANT PROJECTS

1.	(1) TITLE AND LOCATION *(City and State)*: Site-condition assessment of the Murray Springs Clovis site, for the USDI BLM, 2011–2014.	(2) YEAR COMPLETED: 2014 Professional Services	Construction (if applicable)
	(3) BRIEF DESCRIPTION *(Brief scope, size, cost, etc.)* AND SPECIFIC ROLE Project entailed compilation of a comprehensive bibliography, assessment of damage to the site resulting from groundwater saturation, and recommendation of mitigation measures to limit future disturbances to this important National Historic Landmark site in southern Arizona. Project cost: $104,999.00	[X] Check if project performed with current firm	
2.	(1) TITLE AND LOCATION *(City and State)* Manned Range 1 survey, Luke Air Force Base, Arizona.	(2) YEAR COMPLETED: 2012 Professional Services	Construction (if applicable)
	(3) BRIEF DESCRIPTION *(Brief scope, size, cost, etc.)* AND SPECIFIC ROLE Project director, responsible for direct oversight of fieldwork and report preparation. Project cost: $75,000.00	[X] Check if project performed with current firm	
3.	(1) TITLE AND LOCATION *(City and State)* Archaeological testing and data recovery at Stoval Airfield, Luke Air Force Base, Arizona	(2) YEAR COMPLETED 2013 Professional Services	Construction (if applicable)
	(3) BRIEF DESCRIPTION *(Brief scope, size, cost, etc.)* AND SPECIFIC ROLE Project director, responsible for direct oversight of fieldwork and report preparation. Project cost. $249,987.00	[X] Check if project performed with current firm	
4.	(1) TITLE AND LOCATION *(City and State)*	(2) YEAR COMPLETED Professional Services	Construction (if applicable)
	(3) BRIEF DESCRIPTION *(Brief scope, size, cost, etc.)* AND SPECIFIC ROLE	[ ] Check if project performed with current firm	
5.	(1) TITLE AND LOCATION *(City and State)*	(2) YEAR COMPLETED Professional Services	Construction (if applicable)
	(3) BRIEF DESCRIPTION *(Brief scope, size, cost, etc.)* AND SPECIFIC ROLE	[ ] Check if project performed with current firm	

4. Resumes of Key Personnel Proposed for this Contract (*Complete one Section 4 for each key person.)*

a. NAME: William M. Graves	b. ROLE IN THIS CONTRACT: Project manager and principal investigator, archaeology	c. YEARS EXPERIENCE	
		1. TOTAL: 12	2. WITH CURRENT FIRM: 8

d. LOCATION *(City and State):* Tucson, AZ

e. EDUCATION *(DEGREE AND SPECIALIZATION)*	f. PROFESSIONAL TRAINING – REGISTRATIONS:
Ph.D., Anthropology, 2002	Register of Professional Archaeologists (No. 15898)

g. OTHER PROFESSIONAL QUALIFICATIONS *(Organizations, Awards, etc.)* Arizona Archaeological Council (president, 2010); Arizona Archaeological and Historical Society (treasurer, 2009–2010); Society for American Archaeology

H. RELEVANT PROJECTS

1.	(1) TITLE AND LOCATION *(City and State)* Solar-Power-Array Testing and Data Recovery Project, Luke Air Force Base, Arizona.	(2) YEAR COMPLETED 2014	
		Professional Services	Construction (if applicable)
	(3) BRIEF DESCRIPTION *(Brief scope, size, cost, etc.)* AND SPECIFIC ROLE Principal investigator and ceramic analyst, responsible for data analysis and report production. Project cost: $5,419,982.50	X Check if project performed with current firm	
2.	(1) TITLE AND LOCATION *(City and State)* Intensive survey of 4,022 acres and evaluation of previously recorded sites at Fort Huachuca, Arizona	(2) YEAR COMPLETED: 2014	
		Professional Services	Construction (if applicable)
	(3) BRIEF DESCRIPTION *(Brief scope, size, cost, etc.)* AND SPECIFIC ROLE Principal investigator, responsible for oversight of all aspects of the project and preparation of report sections Project cost: $300,000.00	X Check if project performed with current firm	
3.	(1) TITLE AND LOCATION *(City and State)*: Class I inventories and Class III surveys for construction of climate observatory towers, Pima and Maricopa Counties, Arizona,	(2) YEAR COMPLETED: 2013	
		Professional Services	Construction (if applicable)
	(3) BRIEF DESCRIPTION *(Brief scope, size, cost, etc.)* AND SPECIFIC ROLE Principal investigator, responsible for coordination and oversight of all aspects of the project Project cost: $37,683.89	X Check if project performed with current firm	
4.	(1) TITLE AND LOCATION *(City and State)* Christiansen Border Village Data Recovery Project, Cochise County, Arizona,	(2) YEAR COMPLETED 2008	
		Professional Services	Construction (if applicable)
	(3) BRIEF DESCRIPTION *(Brief scope, size, cost, etc.)* AND SPECIFIC ROLE Project director, responsible for coordination and oversight of fieldwork and report production Project cost: $479,350.65	X Check if project performed with current firm	
5.	(1) TITLE AND LOCATION *(City and State)* Class III archaeological survey for the Yavapai Ranch–Prescott National Forest land exchange, Yavapai County, Arizona,	(2) YEAR COMPLETED 2007	
		Professional Services	Construction (if applicable)
	(3) BRIEF DESCRIPTION *(Brief scope, size, cost, etc.)* AND SPECIFIC ROLE Project director, responsible for oversight of fieldwork and report production Project cost: $90,000.00	X Check if project performed with current firm	

4. Resumes of Key Personnel Proposed for this Contract (*Complete one Section 4 for each key person.)*

a. NAME: John D. Hall	b. ROLE IN THIS CONTRACT Project director, prehistoric archaeology	c. YEARS EXPERIENCE 1. TOTAL: 16	2. WITH CURRENT FIRM: 12

d. LOCATION *(City and State)*: Tucson, AZ

e. EDUCATION *(DEGREE AND SPECIALIZATION):* M.A., prehistoric archaeology, 2009	f. PROFESSIONAL TRAINING – REGISTRATIONS: Register of Professional Archaeologists (No. 1291460)

g. OTHER PROFESSIONAL QUALIFICATIONS *(Organizations, Awards, etc.)* Arizona Archaeological and Historical Society; Society for American Archaeology

H. RELEVANT PROJECTS

1.	(1) TITLE AND LOCATION *(City and State)* Solar-power-array testing and data recovery project, Luke Air Force Base, Arizona.	(2) YEAR COMPLETED: 2014 Professional Services	Construction (if applicable)
	(3) BRIEF DESCRIPTION *(Brief scope, size, cost, etc.)* AND SPECIFIC ROLE Project director, responsible for direct coordination and oversight of fieldwork and report production Project cost: $5,419,982.50	[X] Check if project performed with current firm	
2.	(1) TITLE AND LOCATION *(City and State)* Joint Courts Complex archaeological data recovery project, Pima County, Arizona	(2) YEAR COMPLETED: 2011 Professional Services	Construction (if applicable)
	(3) BRIEF DESCRIPTION *(Brief scope, size, cost, etc.)* AND SPECIFIC ROLE Project director, responsible for direct oversight of fieldwork and report preparation Project cost: $14,990,982.50	[X] Check if project performed with current firm	
3.	(1) TITLE AND LOCATION *(City and State)* Survey of the Barry M. Goldwater Air Force tactical ranges, for Luke Air Force Base, Arizona.	(2) YEAR COMPLETED 2004 Professional Services	Construction (if applicable)
	(3) BRIEF DESCRIPTION *(Brief scope, size, cost, etc.)* AND SPECIFIC ROLE Project director. Direct oversight of fieldwork and report preparation Project cost: $463,960.52	[X] Check if project performed with current firm	
4.	(1) TITLE AND LOCATION *(City and State)* Fiber-optic-line survey (recording of prehistoric sites along playa margins), San Bernardino County, California,	(2) YEAR COMPLETED: 2003 Professional Services	Construction (if applicable)
	(3) BRIEF DESCRIPTION *(Brief scope, size, cost, etc.)* AND SPECIFIC ROLE Project director, responsible for direct oversight of fieldwork and report preparation Project cost: $80,173.40	[X] Check if project performed with current firm	
5.	(1) TITLE AND LOCATION *(City and State)* U.S. Highway 60 Florence Junction to Superior project (data recovery at 12 prehistoric sites), Pinal County, Arizona,	(2) YEAR COMPLETED Professional Services	Construction (if applicable)
	(3) BRIEF DESCRIPTION *(Brief scope, size, cost, etc.)* AND SPECIFIC ROLE Project director, responsible for direct oversight of fieldwork and report preparation Project cost: $5,074,677.00	[X] Check if project performed with current firm	

4. Resumes of Key Personnel Proposed for this Contract (*Complete one Section 4 for each key person.)*

a. NAME : Eric E. Klucas	b. ROLE IN THIS CONTRACT Principal investigator, prehistoric archaeology	c. YEARS EXPERIENCE	
		1. TOTAL 30	2. WITH CURRENT FIRM 15

d. LOCATION *(City and State)* Tucson, AZ

e. EDUCATION *(DEGREE AND SPECIALIZATION)* Ph.D., Anthropology, 1996	f. PROFESSIONAL TRAINING – REGISTRATIONS Register of Professional Archaeologists (No. 10226)

g. OTHER PROFESSIONAL QUALIFICATIONS *(Organizations, Awards, etc.)* Arizona Archaeological and Historical Society (vice president for activities, 2004–2006); Arizona Archaeological Council (president-elect, 2014); Society for American Archaeology

H. RELEVANT PROJECTS

1.

(1) TITLE AND LOCATION *(City and State)*
Overton and Virgin River Survey Project

(2) YEAR COMPLETED: 2014

Professional Services	Construction (if applicable)

(3) BRIEF DESCRIPTION *(Brief scope, size, cost, etc.)* AND SPECIFIC ROLE
4,506-acre Class III cultural resource survey in Clark County, Nevada. Principal investigator, responsible for coordination and oversight of all aspects of the project.
Project cost: $258,896.00

[X] Check if project performed with current firm

2.

(1) TITLE AND LOCATION *(City and State)*
Wellton Photodocumentation Project, Wellton, Arizona

(2) YEAR COMPLETED : 25013

Professional Services	Construction (if applicable)

(3) BRIEF DESCRIPTION *(Brief scope, size, cost, etc.)* AND SPECIFIC ROLE
Photodocumentation and site assessments of 15 rock-art sites along the Gila River near Wellton, Arizona. Principal investigator, responsible for coordination and oversight of all aspects of the project.
Project cost:$11,217.33

[X] Check if project performed with current firm

3.

(1) TITLE AND LOCATION *(City and State)*
Canoa Ranch Survey, Pima County, Arizona,

(2) YEAR COMPLETED 2012

Professional Services	Construction (if applicable)

(3) BRIEF DESCRIPTION *(Brief scope, size, cost, etc.)* AND SPECIFIC ROLE
Class III cultural resource survey and site assessment on the historic Canoa Ranch. Principal investigator, responsible for coordination and oversight of all aspects of the project.
Project cost: $16,944.63

[X] Check if project performed with current firm

4.

(1) TITLE AND LOCATION *(City and State)*
Qwest Dairy project, Pima County, Arizona,

(2) YEAR COMPLETED 2010

Professional Services	Construction (if applicable)

(3) BRIEF DESCRIPTION *(Brief scope, size, cost, etc.)* AND SPECIFIC ROLE
Extent testing and data recovery at the Dairy site. Principal investigator, responsible for coordination and oversight of all aspects of the project
Project cost: $200,000.00

[ ] Check if project performed with current firm

5.

(1) TITLE AND LOCATION *(City and State)*
Roger Road Los Pozos Project, Pima County, Arizona.

(2) YEAR COMPLETED 2011

Professional Services	Construction (if applicable)

(3) BRIEF DESCRIPTION *(Brief scope, size, cost, etc.)* AND SPECIFIC ROLE
Archaeological data recovery. Principal investigator, responsible for coordination and oversight of all aspects of the project.
Project cost: $350,000.00

[ ] Check if project performed with current firm

4. Resumes of Key Personnel Proposed for this Contract (*Complete one Section 4 for each key person.)*

a. NAME: Scott Thompson	b. ROLE IN THIS CONTRACT Principal investigator, history and architectural history	c. YEARS EXPERIENCE	
		1. TOTAL 18	2. WITH CURRENT FIRM 13

d. LOCATION *(City and State)* Tucson, AZ

e. EDUCATION *(DEGREE AND SPECIALIZATION)* M.A., history, 1993	f. PROFESSIONAL TRAINING – REGISTRATIONS Academy of Certified Archivists

g. OTHER PROFESSIONAL QUALIFICATIONS *(Organizations, Awards, etc.)* Meets the Secretary of the Interior's Professional Qualification Standards for History and Architectural History;

H. RELEVANT PROJECTS

1.	(1) TITLE AND LOCATION *(City and State)* Empire Ranch project, Pima County, Arizona.	(2) YEAR COMPLETED 2014 Professional Services	Construction (if applicable)
	(3) BRIEF DESCRIPTION *(Brief scope, size, cost, etc.)* AND SPECIFIC ROLE Stabilization and repair of the historic adobe hay barn at the Empire Ranch project. Principal Investigator Project cost: $16,000.00	[X] Check if project performed with current firm	
2.	(1) TITLE AND LOCATION *(City and State)* Fort Huachuca Documentation project, Fort Huachuca, Arizona	(2) YEAR COMPLETED: 2014 Professional Services	Construction (if applicable)
	(3) BRIEF DESCRIPTION *(Brief scope, size, cost, etc.)* AND SPECIFIC ROLE Documentation and evaluation of Works Progress Administration (WPA) features and identification of a potential WPA historic district at Fort Huachuca. Principal investigator and architectural historian. Project cost: $41,906.00	[X] Check if project performed with current firm	
3.	(1) TITLE AND LOCATION *(City and State)* Structural Analysis, Fort Huachuca, Arizona	(2) YEAR COMPLETED2013 Professional Services	Construction (if applicable)
	(3) BRIEF DESCRIPTION *(Brief scope, size, cost, etc.)* AND SPECIFIC ROLE Structural and seismic analyses of three historic buildings at Fort Huachuca, Arizona. Principal investigator. Project cost: $90,342.00	[X] Check if project performed with current firm	
4.	(1) TITLE AND LOCATION *(City and State)* Santa Fe Trail Project, Colorado	(2) YEAR COMPLETED: 2013 Professional Services	Construction (if applicable)
	(3) BRIEF DESCRIPTION *(Brief scope, size, cost, etc.)* AND SPECIFIC ROLE NRHP nominations and visual resource-management analysis of the Santa Fe National Historic Trail, Colorado. Principal investigator. Project cost: $147,825.00	[X] Check if project performed with current firm	
5.	(1) TITLE AND LOCATION *(City and State)* State Route 88 Project, Maricopa County, Arizona	(2) YEAR COMPLETED 2011 Professional Services	Construction (if applicable)
	(3) BRIEF DESCRIPTION *(Brief scope, size, cost, etc.)* AND SPECIFIC ROLE Structural and seismic analyses of three historic buildings at Fort Huachuca, Arizona. Principal investigator. Project cost: $90,342.00	[X] Check if project performed with current firm	

4. Resumes of Key Personnel Proposed for this Contract (*Complete one Section 4 for each key person.)*

a. NAME: Robert M. Wegener	b. ROLE IN THIS CONTRACT Principal investigator, prehistoric archaeology	c. YEARS EXPERIENCE 1. TOTAL: 22	2. WITH CURRENT FIRM: 16

d. LOCATION *(City and State)* Tucson, AZ

e. EDUCATION *(DEGREE AND SPECIALIZATION)* M.A., Anthropology, 1998	f. PROFESSIONAL TRAINING - REGISTRATIONS Register of Professional Archaeologists (No. 10996) Society for American Archaeology

g. OTHER PROFESSIONAL QUALIFICATIONS *(Organizations, Awards, etc.)*
Society for American Archaeology
Has worked on more than 150 projects in Arizona, New Mexico, Nevada, Utah, Idaho, Oregon, and Washington

H. RELEVANT PROJECTS

1.

(1) TITLE AND LOCATION *(City and State)*
Stoval Airfield, Barry M. Goldwater Range East, Luke Air Force Base, Arizona,

(2) YEAR COMPLETED: 2014

Professional Services	Construction (if applicable)

(3) BRIEF DESCRIPTION *(Brief scope, size, cost, etc.)* AND SPECIFIC ROLE
Archaeological data recovery. Principal investigator, responsible for coordination and oversight of all aspects of the project.
Project cost: $249,847.00

[X] Check if project performed with current firm

2.

(1) TITLE AND LOCATION *(City and State)*
Area B Roads, Barry M. Goldwater Range East, Luke Air Force Base, Arizona

(2) YEAR COMPLETED 2012

Professional Services	Construction (if applicable)

(3) BRIEF DESCRIPTION *(Brief scope, size, cost, etc.)* AND SPECIFIC ROLE
Intensive archaeological survey of 62.5 miles (2,516 acres) in the Sauceda Mountains. Principal investigator, responsible for coordination and oversight of all aspects of the project.
Project cost: $186,732.00

[X] Check if project performed with current firm

3.

(1) TITLE AND LOCATION *(City and State)*
Solar-power-array project, Luke Air Force Base, AZ

(2) YEAR COMPLETED 2014

Professional Services	Construction (if applicable)

(3) BRIEF DESCRIPTION *(Brief scope, size, cost, etc.)* AND SPECIFIC ROLE
Archaeological testing and data recovery. Principal investigator, responsible for coordination and oversight of all aspects of the project.
Project cost: $5,419,982.50

[X] Check if project performed with current firm

4.

(1) TITLE AND LOCATION *(City and State)*
Tres Rios Project, Maricopa County, Arizona

(2) YEAR COMPLETED 2009

Professional Services	Construction (if applicable)

(3) BRIEF DESCRIPTION *(Brief scope, size, cost, etc.)* AND SPECIFIC ROLE
Archaeological data recovery. Principal investigator, responsible for coordination and oversight of all aspects of the project.
Project cost: $395,242.52

[X] Check if project performed with current firm

5.

(1) TITLE AND LOCATION *(City and State)*
Christiansen Border Village, Cochise County, Arizona

(2) YEAR COMPLETED 2009

Professional Services	Construction (if applicable)

(3) BRIEF DESCRIPTION *(Brief scope, size, cost, etc.)* AND SPECIFIC ROLE
Archaeological data recovery. Principal investigator, responsible for coordination and oversight of all aspects of the project.
Project cost: $479,350.65

[X] Check if project performed with current firm

5. EXAMPLE PROJECTS WHICH BEST ILLUSTRATE PROPOSED TEAM'S QUALIFICATIONS FOR THIS CONTRACT

(Present no more than five (5) projects. Complete one Section 5 for each project.)

a. TITLE AND LOCATION ***(City and State)***	b. YEAR COMPLETED: 2008	
Christiansen Border Village, Cochise County, AZ	PROFESSIONAL SERVICES	CONSTRUCTION *(If applicable)*

23. PROJECT OWNER'S INFORMATION		
c. PROJECT OWNER US Army Corps of Engineers	d. ORIGINAL BUDGET/NTE AMOUNT OF PROJECT $479,350.65	e. TOTAL COST OF PROJECT $479,350.65

f. BRIEF DESCRIPTION OF PROJECT AND RELEVANCE TO THIS CONTRACT (include scope, size, and length of project)

SRI completed NRHP-eligibility testing at two sites, damage assessment at three sites, and data recovery at Christiansen Border Village for the U.S. Army Corps of Engineers, Los Angeles District (USACE-LAD), in accordance with Section 106 of the NHPA. Work was conducted in two parts under two task orders in response to improvements and maintenance activities along the International Border Road and the construction of the U.S.-Mexico border fence between Naco and Douglas, Cochise County, Arizona.

For the first task order, SRI conducted NRHP-eligibility testing at two sites and damage assessments at three NRHP-eligible sites between March 25–28 and April 1 and 2, 2008. Phased data recovery was completed during the same period and involved a large prehistoric habitation site: Christiansen Border Village. A report summarizing the results was completed in April 2008. This report included a Historic Properties Treatment Plan concerning data recovery at Christiansen Border Village. SRI conducted data recovery within the 300-m-long stretch of International Border Road that bisected the site. The purpose of this data recovery was to mitigate future road-improvement and maintenance activities, as well as the U.S.-Mexico border fence along the south side of the road.

SRI's data recovery efforts at Christiansen Border Village required close coordination with the USACE-LAD, Customs and Border Patrol (CBP), the U.S. Bureau of Land Management (BLM) Gila District, and representatives of the Tohono O'odham Nation (Nation). It is also important to note that this work was completed during former Secretary of Homeland Security Michael Chertoff's executive waiver of all relevant environmental and cultural resource laws and regulations along the U.S.-Mexico border to expedite fence construction. The USACE-LAD wanted to mitigate the undertaking's adverse effects on Christiansen Border Village regardless of this waiver, and in consultation with the U.S. Department of Homeland Security, CBP, BLM, and the Nation, an agreement was reached allowing the work to proceed. Effectively, data recovery could not impact CBP's use of the U.S.-Mexico International Border Road at any time, and fieldwork could not interfere with fence construction.

In-field data recovery was completed between May 12 and June 7, 2008, followed by fence-construction monitoring on July 21 and 22, 2008. SRI submitted the draft technical report concerning the data recovery and monitoring results on June 5, 2008. This report contains significant new information concerning Early Agricultural practices in a nonriverine setting, including evidence of cotton and maize domestication at 1000 B.C. The project also included a detailed regional examination of mid-to-late Holocene *cienega* formation and paleoclimate in southeastern Arizona.

5. EXAMPLE PROJECTS WHICH BEST ILLUSTRATE PROPOSED TEAM'S QUALIFICATIONS FOR THIS CONTRACT

(Present no more than five (5) projects. Complete one Section 5 for each project.)

a. TITLE AND LOCATION *(City and State)*	b. YEAR COMPLETED: 2011	
A Class I Cultural Resources Inventory of the North-South Corridor Study, Pinal County, Arizona	PROFESSIONAL SERVICES	CONSTRUCTION *(If applicable)*

23. PROJECT OWNER'S INFORMATION

c. PROJECT OWNER	d. ORIGINAL BUDGET/NTE AMOUNT OF PROJECT	e. TOTAL COST OF PROJECT
HDR, Inc.	$151,949.47	$151,949.47

f. BRIEF DESCRIPTION OF PROJECT AND RELEVANCE TO THIS CONTRACT (include scope, size, and length of project)

HDR subcontracted with SRI to provide cultural resources services in support of the North-South Corridor Study (NSCS) in Pinal County for the Arizona Department of Transportation (ADOT). SRI has recently completed the draft of the Class I overview of the study area in support of the project environmental impact statement (EIS). The NSCS encompasses nearly 150 square miles between Apache Junction and Picacho Peak and contains 320 known cultural resources. Of these, 1 is listed in the National Register of Historic Places (NRHP), 1 is listed in the Arizona Register of Historic Places, and 39 have been determined eligible for listing in the NRHP. From archival research, SRI also identified more than 200 potential historical-period resources that may exist within the study area. The findings of this large and complicated undertaking will aid ADOT in its National Environmental Policy Act (NEPA) planning and selection of potential transportation corridors through this large study area. Our research has identified several sensitive or potentially sensitive areas of high resource density and significant sites to avoid, if possible. In addition, we were able to identify numerous areas where historic districts or historic landscapes could exist. Our work will inform future stages of cultural resources compliance work for this large project, including Class III surveys of potential transportation corridors, and any future testing or data recovery efforts that may be necessary. Cultural resources studies such as our recent Class I overview will aid ADOT in balancing the transportation needs of the residents of southern and central Arizona with the need to preserve the rich cultural heritage of this portion of the state.

This project was selected as a good example of SRI's ability to complete a large and complex literature-search project in a timely manner, along with SRI's robust GIS capabilities and understanding of the NEPA process. It is also an example of SRI providing the client with the information necessary to evaluate the cultural resources components of multiple proposed alternative corridors in support of identifying the preferred alternative corridor in the project environmental impact statement.

5. EXAMPLE PROJECTS WHICH BEST ILLUSTRATE PROPOSED TEAM'S QUALIFICATIONS FOR THIS CONTRACT

(Present no more than five (5) projects. Complete one Section 5 for each project.)

a. TITLE AND LOCATION *(City and State)*	b. YEAR COMPLETED	
Luke Air Force Base Solar Array Area B Site Mitigation	2013	
	PROFESSIONAL SERVICES	CONSTRUCTION *(If applicable)*

23. PROJECT OWNER'S INFORMATION

c. PROJECT OWNER	d. ORIGINAL BUDGET/NTE AMOUNT OF PROJECT	e. TOTAL COST OF PROJECT
Luke Air Force Base	$5,419,982.30	$5,419,982.30

f. BRIEF DESCRIPTION OF PROJECT AND RELEVANCE TO THIS CONTRACT (include scope, size, and length of project)

The project was performed for the 56 CEAS/CEAN, Luke Air Force Base (AFB), under a delivery/task order issued by the U.S. Army Corps of Engineers (USACE), Forth Worth District, to Aerostar Environmental Services, Inc. The work described below was performed by Statistical Research, Inc. (SRI), under a subcontract with Aerostar.

The Luke AFB Solar Array Data Recovery was a very large, phased archaeological mitigation project in the Phoenix Basin of central Arizona in support of the Luke AFB solar array project. Completed project tasks have included geotechnical monitoring, preparation of a historic properties treatment plan, eligibility testing, the largest phased field mitigation in Arizona in the last 60 years, intensive and repeated support of Native American consultation since November 2009, public outreach, Native American Graves Protection and Repatriation Act repatriation support, end-of-fieldwork reporting allowing construction to proceed, artifact and sample processing and analysis, preparation of the project collection for curation at the Gila Bend Air Force Auxiliary Range, completing the required technical descriptive report (Volume 1) and interpretations and synthesis (Volume 2) for review by the project peer reviewer and the prime contractor,

Fieldwork required mechanical stripping of 46 contiguous acres, exposure and sampling of 3,500 stratified archaeological features representing 7,000 years of aboriginal land use, and regular consultation-support services in response to Arizona State Historic Preservation Office and tribal needs. Public outreach is a key project requirement, and numerous presentations have been made to professional and avocational organizations. The project has also involved intensive coordination with Aerostar (the prime contractor) and numerous additional subcontracted specialists in support of needed mechanical excavation, along with dust control and stormwater pollution prevention requirements. The overall project team consists of more than 50 staff members and numerous subcontracted specialists in different analytical fields (e.g., radiocarbon dating, sediment chemistry studies, macrobotany, palynology, residue analysis, etc.) in support of required technical analyses and reporting. SRI was chosen because of our regional expertise and capacity to ensure the execution of the project treatment plan to a high standard of care in the available timeframe.

5. EXAMPLE PROJECTS WHICH BEST ILLUSTRATE PROPOSED TEAM'S QUALIFICATIONS FOR THIS CONTRACT

(Present no more than five (5) projects. Complete one Section 5 for each project.)

a. TITLE AND LOCATION *(City and State)*	b. YEAR COMPLETED 2013	
Murray Springs Clovis Site National Historic Landmark Archaeological and Paleoenvironmental Condition Assessment, San Pedro Riparian National Conservation Area, Cochise County, Arizona	PROFESSIONAL SERVICES	CONSTRUCTION *(If applicable)*

23. PROJECT OWNER'S INFORMATION

c. PROJECT OWNER	d. ORIGINAL BUDGET/NTE AMOUNT OF PROJECT	e. TOTAL COST OF PROJECT
Bureau of Land Managment	$35,000.00	$104,999.36

f. BRIEF DESCRIPTION OF PROJECT AND RELEVANCE TO THIS CONTRACT (include scope, size, and length of project)

In 2012, SRI was contracted by the Bureau of Land Management (BLM), Tucson Field Office, to conduct five tasks involving the Murray Springs National Historic Landmark: (1) preparation of a comprehensive bibliography concerning the history of research and the physical setting of the site, (2) spatial control of the site and its archaeological and paleoenvironmental records in the form of a comprehensive geographic information system (GIS), (3) an assessment of the specific forms of damage that may be caused by existing levels of groundwater saturation, (4) projection of future changes caused by saturation until 2022, and (5) recommendations concerning mitigation measures to preserve the precious archaeological and paleontological records present at this world-famous Clovis site, which have played, and continue to play, crucial roles in the history of research concerning the peopling of the New World. The SRI team included Drs. C. Vance Haynes, Jr.; Vance T. Holliday; and hydrologists from Cardno-ENTRIX; the team was also assisted by James Holmlund of Western Mapping and Dr. David Hurst Thomas. The BLM is using the project deliverables to prepare a historic properties management plan (HPMP) and to assist its ongoing preservation efforts.

The value-added components of SRI's Murray Springs project were many and significant. One of the most important included the reproduction of three unpublished papers authored by C. Vance Haynes, Jr., that detail the history of site erosion, a summary of the hydrological issues affecting the site, and recommendations for bank stabilizations that recreate the stratigraphy of the site (Appendixes B, C, and F). These unpublished papers significantly contributed to the history of the erosion-management problems and past baseline conditions, informed SRI's erosion-management recommendations, and provided data to the BLM crucial to its future preparation of an HPMP. To provide the BLM with all available information for its HPMP, SRI coordinated closely with the Bureau of Reclamation (BOR) and incorporated completed but unpublished BOR hydrological models and engineering remediation plans in the management recommendations. To better establish baseline conditions, SRI also worked with Dr. Haynes and Dr. David Hurst Thomas to give the BLM historical photographs documenting site condition through time (Appendix G). SRI also obtained and reproduced the original 16-mm films of the 1966–1968 excavations at Murray Springs and other important Clovis sites in the San Pedro Riparian National Conservation Area (Appendix H), thus giving the BLM a remarkable historical video record documenting the pioneering role that the Murray Springs Clovis site has played in our understanding of the peopling of the New World and the research process that underpinned the pioneering Murray Springs Clovis site excavations.

This project was selected because it demonstrates SRI's ability to successfully coordinate with a wide range of specialists and subconsultants on a high-profile project. It also demonstrates the range of SRI's technical expertise in literature reviews, GIS preparation, geoarchaeology, hydrologic and erosion predictive modeling in support of preservation planning, and providing agencies the information needed to best inform planning.

5. EXAMPLE PROJECTS WHICH BEST ILLUSTRATE PROPOSED TEAM'S QUALIFICATIONS FOR THIS CONTRACT

(Present no more than five (5) projects. Complete one Section 5 for each project.)

a. TITLE AND LOCATION *(City and State)*	b. YEAR COMPLETED 2004	
Tres Rios Ecosystem Restoration and Flood Control Project, Maricopa County, Arizona	PROFESSIONAL SERVICES	CONSTRUCTION *(If applicable)*

23. PROJECT OWNER'S INFORMATION

c. PROJECT OWNER	d. ORIGINAL BUDGET/NTE AMOUNT OF PROJECT	e. TOTAL COST OF PROJECT
US Army Corps of Engineers	$395,242.52	$395,242.52

f. BRIEF DESCRIPTION OF PROJECT AND RELEVANCE TO THIS CONTRACT (include scope, size, and length of project)

SRI has conducted four separate projects for the U.S. Army Corps of Engineers, Los Angeles District (USACE-LAD), between 2002 and 2009 in support of the Tres Rios Ecosystem Restoration and Flood Control Project (Tres Rios project) along the Salt and Gila Rivers in Phoenix, Arizona, pursuant to Section 106 of the National Historic Preservation Act (NHPA) and other state and local historic-preservation laws. The Tres Rios project is a joint effort between the USACE-LAD and the City of Phoenix that involves, in part, the construction of wetlands and the restoration of riparian and open marsh areas along the Salt River. Within this area lies the NRHP-eligible archaeological site AZ T:11:94 (ASM) (Site 94).

SRI's most recent project for the USACE-LAD in support of the Tres Rios project involved two tasks: (1) data recovery excavations and geomorphological trenching to mitigate construction impacts on buried cultural deposits at Site 94 and (2) the monitoring of ground-disturbing construction at the site to a depth of 5 feet. This work was done under Task Order 0001 for Contract W912PL-07-D-0048, awarded to Basin, to which SRI subcontracts. Deliverables included hard-copy and electronic reports detailing the investigations.

SRI's work at Site 94 identified the site as an Early Archaic period habitation locus. Among the Early Archaic period remains were two 7,000-year-old habitation structures, perhaps the oldest architectural features found in the U.S. Southwest. Much later in time, during the pre-Classic period (A.D. 950–1150), the site was the location of agricultural fields, field houses, and canals probably used by the inhabitants of the nearby Cashion site complex, less than 1 mile to the north. The relative lack of Classic period (A.D. 1150–1450) features and materials at the site also provides some important glimpses into possible changes in agriculture, production, and labor during this period.

Finally, geomorphological trenching and analyses document the preservation of early and middle Holocene sediments in the Lehi Terrace at Site 94. These overbank flood deposits may have formed as discrete areas or islands of relatively stable landforms among the braided channels of the Salt River and could be preserved in other portions of Lehi Terrace. SRI's geomorphological work also demonstrates that changes in the floodplain environment during the Hohokam pre-Classic and Classic periods previously documented along the Gila River cannot be documented along the Salt River at Site 94, and that these two rivers had markedly different alluvial histories.

6. ADDITIONAL INFORMATION

a. PROVIDE ANY ADDITIONAL INFORMATION YOU FEEL MAY BE NECESSARY TO DESCRIBE YOUR FIRMS QUALIFICATIONS. *(ATTACH ADDITIONAL SHEETS AS NEEDED.)*

Statistical Research, Inc. provides a full range of cultural resources services, including the following.

Conduct Records Checks, Research, and Literature Searches to Identify Previously Recorded Cultural Resources and Areas of Previous Research and Prepare Reports

SRI routinely conducts this work for all projects, which means that we have performed thousands of records checks. Literature searches and records checks include determining whether previous work has been conducted, identifying recorded sites in the project area and those listed or eligible for listing in the National Register of Historic Places (NRHP), performing literature research to identify pertinent environmental information, conducting interviews with knowledgeable persons as necessary, and preparing reports. SRI typically checks libraries, all appropriate local repositories, State Historic Preservation Office (SHPO) records, state and national registers of historic places, General Land Office maps, Sanborn fire insurance maps, and other documentary resources as needed. SRI compiles the results of these searches directly into our extensive geographic information system (GIS), allowing us to rapidly and productively integrate CAD/GIS data from our clients.

Conduct Historical and Archival Research and Prepare Reports

SRI's Historic Program conducts historical research that may include literature searches, archival-records checks, documentary research, interviews, and report preparation. SRI carries out ethnographic or ethnohistoric research as necessary to identify and evaluate traditional cultural places (TCPs), ensuring that a proposed project does not adversely affect traditional places or other properties of concern to Native Americans. Ethnographic studies require meeting with tribal representatives. In our work, we follow National Park Service (NPS) guidelines and ensure that research is conducted with sensitivity to Native American concerns.

Conduct Historic-Building Inventories and Architectural Surveys and Complete Arizona Historic Property Inventory Forms

SRI's Historic Program coordinates and conducts historic-building inventories and architectural surveys. This work typically includes photography, completion of Arizona Historic Property Inventory Forms, preparation of maps, and report preparation, in addition to archival and literature research. We also use a variety of 3-D scanning techniques in order to provide full 3-D models of complex, standing buildings and structures that can be integrated with historical documentation and nonintrusive subsurface testing to create a fully interactive GIS. We are familiar with the guidelines issued by the SHPO for this type of work, as well as those issued by the federal government, and our familiarity with those guidelines facilitates appropriate compliance with local, state, and federal requirements.

Prepare Evaluations of Cultural Resources for Eligibility for Listing in the NRHP, Building-Condition Assessments, or Other Evaluations

Our clients routinely ask SRI to prepare diverse cultural resource evaluations, which may include assessing eligibility for listing in the NRHP and building-condition assessments (see below). To evaluate the significance of an archaeological site or historic property, SRI would prepare a testing plan that outlines historic contexts and specific research themes, identifies data requirements and pertinent property types, and details proposed field and analysis methods.

Prepare Nominations to the NRHP

Projects routinely require evaluation of archaeological sites, TCPs, and historic buildings and districts for listing in the NRHP. SRI follows NPS guidelines and procedures for TCPs and multiple-property nominations. Our evaluations of eligibility include assessment of site integrity and address the property's ability to answer identified research questions. These evaluations are frequently facilitated by the integration of a wide variety of general background and specific information into a GIS, allowing researchers to easily visualize data from disparate sources.

Prepare Mitigation Plans That May Include In-Place Preservation, Documentation, and/or Data Recovery

Mitigation plans are often developed as a result of an archaeological testing project and report. They specify how the research values of an archaeological site will be preserved through in-place preservation, additional detailed site documentation, data recovery when site preservation or avoidance is not possible, or some combination of the three. Such plans usually involve a research design that addresses research issues, presents historic contexts and research questions, and estimates the necessary level of effort. SRI plans are prepared to be consistent with the Secretary of the Interior's standards and guidelines and those outlined in the Advisory Council on Historic Preservation handbook *Treatment of Archaeological Properties*, as well as all SHPO and Arizona State Museum (ASM) guidelines.

Conduct Archaeological Testing to Determine the Nature and Extent of Archaeological Sites and Their Eligibility for Listing in the NRHP and Prepare Reports

SRI has extensive experience conducting archaeological testing. This type of work typically involves archival research (sometimes including oral history) and fieldwork. Fieldwork may include surface collection and limited subsurface testing (mechanical excavation, hand-excavation, or both). Usually, artifact and sample analyses conducted for testing are aimed only at evaluating a site's research potential and function(s) and assessing its occupational record.

Conduct Scientific Investigations and Data Recovery for Archaeological Sites

Data recovery provides an opportunity to thoroughly investigate a site as a mitigation measure and makes it possible to answer research questions. Data recovery at prehistoric and historical-period sites typically involves surface collection, controlled excavation, and recording, with appropriate modifications, depending on specific site characteristics and conditions. Hand-excavation of structures, extramural features, and refuse deposits is usually carried out. Additional backhoe trenching or mechanical stripping beyond that conducted for testing may be needed. Three-dimensional locational data are always recorded in great detail using a reflectorless total station and/or a variety of 3-D scanning tools. Typically, artifact and sample analyses are highly detailed and involve specific kinds of studies, such as sourcing analyses. Accordingly, reports of data recovery projects are detailed and are often published in SRI's technical series.

For data recovery projects of all scopes, SRI would ensure that all project materials, collections, documents, and digital data are delivered to ASM for curation in the proper format and to the proper standards. SRI's laboratory staff all have the necessary experience with and knowledge of ASM curation policies, standards, and practices and can guarantee that project collections, materials, and data are properly curated to the highest of professional standards.

Conduct Monitoring of Cultural Resources during Construction

Some projects may require that construction activities be monitored to identify and record any cultural features that may be present. SRI has monitored hundreds of development and infrastructure projects: sewer-line installations, construction projects that exposed multiple archaeological features, riverbank stabilizations, and landscaping activities during which human remains

were found and successfully repatriated to the appropriate Native American groups. SRI follows all ASM and SHPO guidelines for cultural resource monitoring and subsequent reporting.

Prepare Condition-Assessment Reports, Stabilization Plans, and Construction Documents for Historic-Building Rehabilitation

Historic properties not scheduled for demolition may be saved through rehabilitation, restoration, and reuse in order to maintain or restore building integrity, materials, and appearance. SRI's Historic Program has prepared historic-structure reports and building-condition assessments for these purposes for a variety of different clients and different contexts.

Specialized Services

Bioarchaeology/Osteology Services

SRI employs bioarchaeologists with expertise in the excavation, analysis, and interpretation of human skeletal remains. Our expertise includes advanced osteological analysis, trauma analysis, paleopathology, and paleodemography.

SRI's bioarchaeologists routinely conduct burial excavations for projects containing human burials, ranging from single, prehistoric cremations to large historical-period cemetery projects, such as the Joint Courts Complex Archaeology and Data Recovery Project in downtown Tucson, Arizona, which contained over 1,000 individuals. SRI osteologists are trained in efficient and thorough excavation processes, including in situ analysis and documentation.

SRI's bioarchaeologists are also proficient at laboratory analysis of all human remains recovered from an archaeological site. This includes a detailed inventory of remains, as well as age and sex determination, stature estimation, biological affiliation assessment, trauma analysis, and the identification of pathological conditions. SRI maintains a large array of standard osteological equipment. Specialized laser-scanning technology allows us to collect a vast amount of data with great accuracy and provide innovative, low impact, and data-rich osteological analysis.

SRI's osteological data-collection protocols are scalable and adaptable to the conditions of a given project. In-field contextual and spatial information is integrated with laboratory analyses, allowing analysts to see the big picture as well as the details.

Technical reports produced by SRI's bioarchaeologists provide the necessary professional documentation for our clients and serve as the basis for legal considerations, such as repatriation. The highest standard of quality in reporting is maintained to ensure a meaningful addition to the larger body of scientific understanding.

Geoarchaeology and Paleoenvironmental Services

SRI offers services in geoarchaeology, geomorphology, soil science, and paleoecology. Department staff have worked on more than 100 archaeological projects and completed dozens of geoarchaeological studies in southern Arizona, other parts of the United States, Mexico, and Africa.

Geoarchaeology. The interpretation of the formation, alteration, and preservation of archaeological deposits, as well as stratigraphic correlations within sites—is becoming increasingly important in cultural resource management for survey, testing and evaluation, and data recovery projects. SRI conducts extensive background research for each project, and landforms that may be associated with buried sites are delineated using a variety of data sets.

Buried-site modeling makes it possible to monitor high-sensitivity areas during construction by predicting where buried sites may exist; in some cases, ground-disturbing activities can be avoided altogether to prevent damage to possible buried sites. Buried-site modeling is also an important component in preparing, refining, and validating predictive models of archaeological site locations. Upon completion of fieldwork and laboratory analysis, a written report is completed presenting the final model of buried-site potential for the project area, along with supporting documentation. A map delineating buried- site sensitivity is included in the final report. Final reports also include recommendations such as locations where archaeological monitoring is warranted within the area of potential effects.

Paleoenvironmental reconstruction allows researchers to reconstruct how landscapes have evolved over time, which should be taken into account when interpreting past changes in settlement, subsistence, and adaptation. Landscapes in many settings have changed significantly from those used by ancient populations, and such changes can be dramatic, especially in coastal, riverine, and aeolian environments. A variety of paleoecological indicators (e.g., pollen, ostracodes, diatoms, and mollusks) are used in combination with chronometric and stratigraphic studies to reconstruct ancient landscapes.

Agricultural modeling is a specialty in which few other consulting companies have expertise. SRI has employed several techniques in agricultural modeling, including soil maps to model agricultural productivity for different types of ancient agricultural systems, soil studies to measure anthropogenic effects on soil quality and agricultural sustainability, and geomorphic studies of alluvial valleys to model the evolution and productivity of ancient agricultural systems. We have also used geoarchaeological methods in excavating canals and other agricultural features to obtain information on their age and function.

Cartography Services

SRI's Cartography and Geospatial Technologies (CAGST) Department is one of the leading geospatial laboratories in the United States and has assisted scholars worldwide in spatial-data management, analysis, visualization, and interpretation.

Geographic Information Systems and Spatial-Data Management. On most projects, various types of spatial data are linked together within a GIS. Although GIS is an excellent CRM tool, sophisticated use of GIS (e.g., for predictive modeling and analytical resource management) is not widespread within the CRM community. SRI understands both the advantages and limitations of developing a detailed GIS and uses them, like any other analytical tool, when it is justified by scientific requirements and client needs. When development of a detailed GIS is appropriate, SRI has the capability to fully manage, analyze, and visualize spatial data. But even the simplest projects benefit from the use of a basic GIS/Global Positioning System (GPS) field data system. We routinely use GPS receivers in conjunction with our robust data dictionary as the primary data-collection and -attribution tool for cultural resources located during inventory surveys. SRI routinely serves GIS data via the Internet, so that our researchers, teaming partners, and clients have up-to-the-minute access to their project's spatial data.

Three-Dimensional Laser Scanning. This is one of the newest geospatial technologies to be adopted by the CRM industry. Tripod-mounted instruments can scan buildings, engineering features, surface topography, rock art, archaeological features, and artifacts. The raw data from scans take the form of X, Y, and Z point clouds containing literally millions of measurements. These point clouds are turned into complete 3-D models that can be used for analysis or for visualization products. Using 3-D printers, three-dimensional computer models can also be used to produce exact replicas of artifacts and features, or even scaled models of structures and engineering features. SRI conducted a long-term laser scanning project for Pima County for the Joint Courts Complex Archaeological Project in downtown Tucson. Because Native American concerns prohibit the use of traditional data collection approaches, laser scanning was used, a solution that provided better accuracy, faster mapping, and improved preservation. SRI scanned and created models of human remains and associated artifacts that were reburied and are no longer available to the scientific community.

Photogrammetry. Although 3-D laser scanning is replacing some photogrammetric applications, photogrammetry continues to be a valuable tool for CRM work. Simple photogrammetric techniques require only minutes longer than regular photography and allow images to be used as quantitative data sources at accuracies equal to those of time-consuming measured field drawings. More robust photogrammetric methods, such as convergent or stereo photogrammetry, enable true 3-D measurements to be taken, much higher accuracies to be achieved, and even digital elevation models and orthophotographs to be produced. SRI also employs a balloon for low-level aerial photography and photogrammetric mapping.

Predictive Modeling and Mathematical Analysis. SRI has an established record of performance and scholarship in the field of archaeological spatial analysis. We work with clients to identify the types of analyses that will contribute to the research or management questions at hand. Predictive modeling has become a popular tool in CRM, particularly for federal agencies in the western United States.

Satellite Remote Sensing. Satellite remote sensing is used by CRM professionals to characterize physiographic settings, classify land cover, identify cultural resources, and conduct change analysis. New high-resolution imagery can even be used to map features within sites.

Virtual Visualization—Virtual spatial visualization is an important tool for paleoenvironmental and landscape reconstruction, project impact assessment, public interpretation, and first-person environmental immersion. SRI uses visualization to identify and clarify patterning in complex data sets. We also work with data from our Paleoenvironmental and Geosciences

Department to construct photorealistic models of the prehistoric landscape that can be animated and populated. These models aid in the scientific understanding of how communities adapted to their landscapes in the past. These same techniques are used with our clients' project data to create visualizations of the future built project that are very useful in public hearings and for assessing the visual, aesthetic, and setting impacts on cultural resources from proposed projects. SRI also uses immersive photographic techniques to create Web-ready models of landscapes, structures, and artifacts for interpretive kiosks and virtual museums.

Deliverables

Project deliverables vary from project to project but would generally consist of draft and final reports (the number of draft iterations would depend on the scope of work of the specific project). Depending on specific project needs, SRI can produce a wide range of deliverables, including (but not limited to)

- Class I inventories
- Historical and archival research reports
- Class II and Class III inventories
- New and updated ASM site cards and AZSITE site descriptions
- Historical-period-building inventory reports
- Architectural survey reports
- SHPO Arizona Historic Property Inventory Forms
- NRHP-eligibility evaluations and building-condition assessment reports
- Archaeological site-condition assessment reports
- Historic property treatment plans
- Archaeological-testing-plan reports
- Reports of the results of archaeological testing and recommendations
- NRHP nominations
- Impact-assessment reports
- Historic-property mitigation plans
- Data-recovery reports
- Monitoring reports
- Historical-period-building stabilization plans
- Construction documents for historical-period building rehabilitation

7. ANNUAL AVERAGE PROFESSIONAL SERVICES REVENUES OF FIRM FOR LAST 3 YEARS

a.	Percentage of Total Work Attributable to State, Federal and Municipal Government Work:	59 %
b.	Percentage of Total Work Attributable to Non-Government Work:	41%

8. AUTHORIZED REPRESENTATIVE. The foregoing is a statement of facts.

Signature: Deborah K. Altschul Date: 12/29/2014

Name: Deborah K. Altschul Title: Chief Executive Officer